# **Knobbe Martens Dison & Bear LLP**

Intellectual Property Law

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 0 8 2004

One Sansome Street Suite 3500 San Francisco CA 94104 Tel 415-954-4114 Fax 415-954-4111 www.kmob.com

### FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

#### CONFIRMATION COPY WILL FOLLOW VIA:

	MAIL		WILL <u>Not</u> Follow
. 🗖	INTERNATIONAL AIRMAIL	<b>Q</b>	HAND DELIVERY
	Courier	<u> </u>	WITH ENCLOSURES
	E-MAIL		WITHOUT ENCLOSURES

#### **Confidentiality Notice:**

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain confidential information which may be legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the recipient named below. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us; and any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this faxed information is strictly

To:

Hieu Phan

FIRM:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Group Art Unit 3738

FACSIMILE NO.:

(703) 872-9306

OUR REF.:

SIPE.001A

APPL. No.:

10/624,864

FILED: FROM: July 22, 2003 Eli A. Loots

OPERATOR:

Sara A. O'Neil

No. Of Pages: 2

(incl. cover sheet)

DATE:

December 8, 2004

TIME:

## IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES PLEASE CALL BACK IMMEDIATELY

OPERATOR PHONE No.: (415) 954-4114

FACSIMILE No.: (415) 954-4111

MESSAGE:

Orange County 949-760-0404

San Diego 619-235-8550

Los Angeles 310-551-3450 -

Riverside 951-781-9231

San Luis Obispo 805-547-5580

**2**002

DEC 0 8 2004

PTOL-413A (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form									
(1) Fir Lock (2) Onle Neat  (3) Hicu Phan (4)  Proposed Date of Interview:  2/14/04  Proposed Time: 2:00 (AM(NN))  Type of Interview Requested: (1)   Telephonic (2) [ ] Personal (3) [ ] Video Conference  Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [ ] YES   NO    If yes, provide brief description:    Issues   Claims/ Prior   Discussed   Agreed   Not Agreed    (Rej., Obj., etc)   Fig. #s   Art Actionse   [ ]   [ ]   [ ]    (2)	Application No.: 10/624864 First Named Applicant: Timur Sanza  Examiner: Hieu Phan Art Unit: 3738 Status of Application: Pending									
Type of Interview Requested:  (1)   Telephonic   (2)   Personal   (3)   Video Conference  Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:   YES   MO  If yes, provide brief description:    Issues   Issues	Tentative Participants: (1) Eli Look (2) Dala Ihrat									
Type of Interview Requested:  (1)   Telephonic   (2)   Personal   (3)   Video Conference  Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:   YES   MO  If yes, provide brief description:    Issues   Issues	(3) Hicu Then (4)									
(1) M Telephonic (2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference  Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES	Proposed Date of Interview: 12/14/04 Proposed Time: 2:00 (AMAM)									
Issues To Be Discussed  Issues Claims/ Prior Discussed Agreed Not Agreed (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art Actions.  (1) / O2 (c) M Nuller [] [] []  (2) [] [] []  (3) [] [] [] []  (4) [] Continuation Sheet Attached  Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:  Difference Description of Arguments to be Description of Des										
Issues Claims/ Prior Discussed Agreed Not Agreed (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art Actoria (1) / O2 C) M Natle [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []										
Issues Claims/ Prior Discussed Agreed Not Agreed (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art Relative  (1) / O2 E) M Nather [] [] []  (2) [] [] []  (3) [] [] [] []  (4) [] [] [] [] []  [] Continuation Sheet Attached  Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:  Differences Detween Moultar of Presented:  La particle, how Moultar definity descript a first on the Component.  An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on  NOTE:  This sorm should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	n yes, provide orier description:									
(1)   O2 C   M	Issues To Be Discussed									
[] [] []  (3) [] [] [] []  (4) [] [] [] [] []  [] Continuation Sheet Attached  Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:  Differents between Meulter to presently Cloud subject maker.  In particle, hear Meulter defining description on  An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on  NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	<del>-</del>		ATT-Reference	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed				
[] [] [] []  (4) [] Continuation Sheet Attached  Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:  Differents between Meulter + presently Claim subject maker.  In particular, hear Meulter defining descript a first on component.  An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on  NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	(1) 102E)	.911	Marker	[]	[]	[]				
[] Continuation Sheet Attached  Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:  Differents between Meulter to presently Claims subject maker.  In particular, how, Meulter definited application on  An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on  NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	(2)			[]	[]	[]				
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:  Differents between Meulter of presently Cloud subject maker.  In particular, how, Meulter definer descript a firston component.  An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on  NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	(3)			[]	[]	[]				
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:  Differents between Meulter of presently Claims subject mobile.  In particular, hear Meulter definer describe a firstion compared.  An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on  NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	(4)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		[]	[]	[]				
Differences between Meulles of presently Claim subject maker.  In particular has, Meulles defined develop a first on component.  An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on  NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	[ ] Continuation Sheet Attached									
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on  NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	Brief Description of	Arguments to b	pe Presented:	Y	<i>u.</i> -					
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on  NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	In particular	hous Meulles	define describs	a fizition c	small.					
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.										
This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	An interview was con	nducted on the	above-identified application	cation on		•				
interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).									
	interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.									
(Examiner/SPE Signature)			e Signature) (Ex:	aminer/SPE Signa	ature)	~·				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or ressip a bonefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.